

HASC Members Prepare to Dive into Navy Budget



Members of the House Armed Services Committee seem prepared to overturn some Navy decisions as outlined in the fiscal 2025 budget request, including retiring some ships early and funding only one Virginia-class submarine.

“What has happened is, as the top line is increased, the game has become, ‘we’ll add a bunch of the stuff that we know Congress won’t add, and we’ll take out stuff that we know Congress is going to put back in.’ And that will be a net gain. That game has to stop,” said Rep. Wittman (R-Virginia), chair of the House Subcommittee on Tactical Air and Land Forces.

As for the Virginia-class sub, Wittman said the Navy position that the program is behind anyway and the shipbuilders can’t keep up doesn’t make sense.

“It really is about demand signal and, and you can’t have it both ways. You can’t say, well, the reason we are reducing the submarine request is because we don’t think the industrial

base can do it. That's wrong," he said. "The industrial base can do it if you send them the demand signal. We're at about 1.6, I think, submarines today annually, we need to be at 2.3. The way we get there is to send the proper demand signal."

Rep. Joe Courtney (D-Connecticut), the ranking member on the Subcommittee on Seapower and Projection Forces, said a defense industry report issued in December highlighted the need for procurement stability.

"Procurement stability was the watchword throughout that report," he said. "And, we're sacrificing that. I mean, literally, within weeks" of the report.

Naval aviation is also an issue, as the Navy has an air attack shortfall, noted moderator Bryan Clark, a senior fellow at the Hudson Institute.

"There are some, thanks to Congress, some Super Hornets being procured in this year's appropriations," he said. "But there doesn't seem to be a clear path ahead for the carrier air wing."

This drew an animated response from Wittman, who said there doesn't seem to be a sense of urgency about the situation.

"The challenge now is to make sure we get enough F-35s in production to be able to sustain these carrier wings," and to make sure there's not a "valley" as the Super Hornets retire, "where now all of a sudden you have aircraft carriers sitting at the dock because there's no aircraft on board. That means we have to get those lines to intersect. That's more of a challenge than what a lot of folks think because the tactical air component of that is about maintaining production."

The aircraft also need technical refresh three, an upgraded software capability that contractor Lockheed Martin warned will be delayed.

"I mean, there needs to be an all hands on deck mentality to go, no, that's not acceptable. We need these aircraft and now we're going to have hundreds of aircraft sitting on the tarmac waiting to get a software upgrade, right?"

Wittman continued, "F-35 is it, right? That's all we have, right? Let's get our fanny in gear and get this thing going and get it on the decks of the aircraft carriers, get it in the hands of our pilots in the Air Force. Get our fanny in gear. I mean, this is it. I hate to get fired up about it, but I'm fired up about it because this is the future of tactile air for this nation. Get our fanny in gear," he said, slapping the arms of his chair for emphasis.

Workforce Woes

The panel, which included Reps. Donald Norcross (D-New Jersey), Jen Kiggans (R-Virginia) and Ronny Jackson (R-Texas), also discussed the workforce issues plaguing the defense industry.

Kiggans, a former Navy helicopter pilot, said she sat on a HASC task force looking at recruitment and retention and what rose to the top were several issues: Compensation, housing and child care.

"That 5.2% pay raise that we just gave our servicemen and women in the appropriations bills that were passed a couple weeks ago, that's a good starting place, but there's still more work to do," Kiggans said.

As for housing, she said college dorms are better than the places junior enlisted Sailors and Marines are asked to live. "We have to do better for our junior Soldiers, Sailors and Airmen and Marines to be able to expect them to want to do the job that we ask," she said.

On the pay issue, Wittman said, "this 5.2% increase this year was great, but remember, the lower you are on the salary

scale, the percentage is not as quite as much in your paycheck. Take for example, if you come into our services, if you are a private in the Army, the Marine Corps, third-class Seamen, third-class Airman, your starting salary is \$23,000 a year. That's 11 dollars and 50 cents an hour asking you to do the most dangerous work of the nation, putting your life on the line. And guess what? You go to Chick-fil-A and serve chicken sandwiches and make more money in a much, much less challenging or dangerous environment. We have got to fix the junior enlisted salary differential."